



SLEEP LATENCY AND DURATION OF SLEEP STUDY A



#: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO;
 &: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN DPH.
 NOTE: ALL TESTING WERE DONE AT 0.075 LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

FIG. 1


NURSE OBSERVED SLEEP LATENCY STUDY A



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
 DDD: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN DPH AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 2


MEAN SPRID3 SCORES STUDY A



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
 DDD: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN DPH AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 3

CUMULATIVE PERCENT ASLEEP STUDY A




#: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO;

&: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN DPH.

NOTE: ALL TESTING WERE DONE AT 0.075 LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

FIG. 4

DURATION OF SLEEP STUDY B



#: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO
\$: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU 400 mg

FIG. 5

CUMULATIVE % OF SUBJECTS ASLEEP AT 60 MIN STUDY B





FIG. 6


MEAN SPRID2 SCORES STUDY B



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
 ppp: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
 (BUT TECHNICALLY INELIGIBLE)

FIG. 7


SLEEP LATENCY STUDY B



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 8

EASE OF FALLING ASLEEP STUDY B




PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

NOTE: OF THE 122 ITT SUBJECTS IN THE IBU400/DPH50 GROUP, 1 SUBJECT HAD MISSING DATA AND DATA FROM AN ADDITIONAL 3 SUBJECTS WERE CONSIDERED MISSING FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS

FIG. 9

GLOBAL EVALUATION OF STUDY MEDICATION AS A SLEEP-AID STUDY A




PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

NOTE: OF THE 122 ITT SUBJECTS IN THE IBU400/DPH50 GROUP, 1 SUBJECT HAD MISSING DATA AND DATA FROM AN ADDITIONAL 3 SUBJECTS WERE CONSIDERED MISSING FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS

FIG. 10


MEAN PRID SCORES STUDY B



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 11

GLOBAL EVALUATION OF STUDY MEDICATION AS A PAIN RELIEVER STUDY B




PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

NOTE: OF THE 122 ITT SUBJECTS IN THE IBU400/DPH50 GROUP, 1 SUBJECT HAD MISSING DATA AND DATA FROM AN ADDITIONAL 3 SUBJECTS WERE CONSIDERED MISSING FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS

FIG. 12


TIME TO RESCUE MEDICATION STUDY C




PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 13


DURATION OF SLEEP STUDY C




IBU400 (n=123)
#



IBU400/DPH50 (n=119)
#, \$




PLACEBO (n=40)



#: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO
\$: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU 400 mg

FIG. 14


CUMULATIVE % OF SUBJECTS ASLEEP AT 60 MIN
STUDY C



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 15

MEAN SPRID2 SCORES STUDY C



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
C: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBUPROFEN 400 mg/DIPHENHYDRAMINE
HYDROCHLORIDE 50 mg COMBINATION AT 0.05 LEVEL

FIG. 16

SLEEP LATENCY
KAPLAN MEIER CURVES
STUDY C





FIG. 17

SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO

EASE OF FALLING ASLEEP STUDY C



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 18

GLOBAL EVALUATION OF STUDY MEDICATION AS A SLEEP-AID STUDY C





FIG. 19

MEAN PRID SCORES STUDY C



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
 C: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBUPROFEN 400 mg/DIPHENHYDRAMINE
 HYDROCHLORIDE 50 mg COMBINATION AT 0.05 LEVEL

FIG. 20

GLOBAL EVALUATION OF STUDY MEDICATION AS A PAIN RELIEVER STUDY C





FIG. 21


TIME TO RESCUE MEDICATION KAPLAN MEIER CURVES STUDY C



SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO

FIG. 22


DURATION OF SLEEP STUDY D



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
 L: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU200/DPH25 AT 0.05 LEVEL

FIG. 23


CUMULATIVE % OF SUBJECTS ASLEEP AT 60 MIN
STUDY D



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

FIG. 24

MEAN SPRID2 SCORES STUDY D



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
L: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU200/DPH25 AT 0.05 LEVEL (BUT TECHNICALLY INELIGIBLE)

FIG. 25

MEAN PRID SCORES STUDY D






FIG. 26

GLOBAL EVALUATION OF STUDY MEDICATION AS A PAIN RELIEVER STUDY D



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
L: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU200/DPH25 AT 0.05 LEVEL

FIG. 27




PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL

III: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU400mg AT 0.001 LEVEL

*OF THE 241 ITT SUBJECTS IN THE IBU400/DP50 GROUP, 1 SUBJECT HAD MISSING DATA AND DATA FROM AN ADDITIONAL 3 SUBJECTS WERE CONSIDERED MISSING FOR THE PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS (THESE 4 SUBJECTS ARE FROM STUDY B)

FIG. 28



PPP: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN PLACEBO AT 0.001 LEVEL
L: SIGNIFICANTLY BETTER THAN IBU400mg AT 0.05 LEVEL

FIG. 29